

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ADRIENNE HUDSON, individually and
on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

FIRST TRANSIT, INC.,

Defendant.

No. C 10-03158 WHA

**ORDER DENYING MOTION TO
EXTEND DEADLINE FOR FILING
MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION**

The case management order in this matter set the deadline for filing a motion for class certification for April 7. A prior order granted the parties' stipulation to continue that deadline to July 7. That order stated very clearly that "no further extensions [of the class certification motion deadline] will be granted, and no extension of the case management schedule will be granted [otherwise]" (Dkt. No. 42). It further stated that "[i]f defendant does not produce discovery in a timely manner, plaintiffs' counsel are obligated to bring the problem to the Court's attention by letter brief (*see* Dkt. No. 3 ¶ 25), after meeting and conferring." No such discovery dispute was brought to the Court's attention, nor is any request for discovery relief now being sought. A subsequent order reiterated the prior ruling (Dkt. No. 50). Now plaintiff's counsel move to extend the deadline for filing their motion for class certification and other related deadlines — the express thing that the prior order disallowed. The motion requests that the deadline be put out

1 with no specific date by which the motion would be due. Good cause not shown, plaintiff's
2 motion is **DENIED**. Plaintiff's motion to shorten time is **DENIED AS MOOT**.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4
5 Dated: June 28, 2011.



6 WILLIAM ALSUP
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28